

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,362 12/31/2003		12/31/2003	Michael Palumbo	ATT/2003-0254	4922	
26652	7590	02/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
AT&T CC	ORP.		PAIK, STEVE S			
ROOM 2A ONE AT&	_ • ·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BEDMINS		07921	2876			
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			750,362	PALUMBO ET AL					
			miner	Art Unit					
		Stev	en S. Paik	2876					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	ion appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, if eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE (CFR 1.136(a). If ation. Ty period will apply by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tire y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status					•				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 28 Novem	ber 2005.						
			n is non-final.						
·	,—								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appli	ication.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or elec	tion requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 20</u>		accepted or b) object	ted to by the Exam	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection			•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is	required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examin	er. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	O-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc		- h						
	_ ' '			ion No					
	2: Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stago				
	application from the International			eu III tilis ivational	Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action fo	•	` ''	ed.					
			•						
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-station Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P)-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	,55,00	6) Other:	,»p =	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed November 28, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7, and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hodes (US 2002/0088855 A1).

Re claims 1, 7, 10, 11, and 17-20, Hodes discloses a method and system of a point-of-sale activated download package with data-encoded magnetic strip and related item or items. Once purchased, the Personal Identification Number ("PIN") or PINs associated with the encoded data are activated. The owner or purchaser of the then-activated PIN (unique identification information associated with a package) or PINs, by accessing an appropriate device (kiosk or POS terminal; Figs. 89-95) or website and providing the active PIN or PINs and other required information, then receives access to access codes, keys, goods and services, or software program (prepaid digital content) or files authorized and delivered after registration. Once received, the data or information allows the full value or use of the product purchased. This packaged card and related products provide a delivery system that allows inactive product sales, prepaid authorized delivery of licensed software programs, digital information, and/or goods and services provided over the Internet, satellite communications, cable, fiber optics and all means of

Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362

communications available today. Hodes further provides an encoded magnetic strip, a barcode, or smart chip, any of which can be read by an appropriate device at point of purchase. The smart chip technologies are well known and can include programmed information that is either random-access memory or read-only memory, or both. The smart chips are attached or embedded into the plastic card and can be read, or information provided, with an appropriate device. An appropriate device or devices reads the magnetic strip, chip or barcode at point of purchase and transmits to a platform or facility the provided control number and/or other data related to the PIN or PINs and/or associated with the smart chip. Once transmitted, the control number(s) or data related to the PIN or PINs are activated at the platform or facility (activating a prepaid digital content medium). The receipt of the transaction is then sent to the verification or authorization entity (verification step is completed at the verification/authorization entity). The transaction is verification that the purchase has taken place and that the smart chip, goods or services are available for use or delivery. Once authorized, the downloaded or delivered information, software file, programs or codes are delivered by a facility and transmitted by a communications device. The information sent is then received by a device that allows the use of the goods or services purchased.

Re claim 2, Hodes discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above wherein said receiving step comprises:

receiving a digital signal comprising a serial number and personal identification number associated with said PDCM (A PIN number has a predetermined sequential control number with direct one-to-one relationship to an account. The control number can be encoded in the

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362

Art Unit: 2876

magnetic strip or in a bar code on the card or related components, and this additional step can be used as a means of encrypting the PIN account number; see [0075] and [0083]).

Re claim 3, Hodes discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above wherein said activating step comprises:

comparing said received identification information to identification information stored in a database; and in response to said received and stored identification information matching, providing an indicia of activation for said PDCM ([0105]-[0110] and [0117]).

Re claim 4, Hodes discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 3 stated above wherein said activating step further comprises:

determining whether said PDCM has been purchased by a consumer; and providing indicia of purchase associated with said PDCM (Hodes' invention utilizes a magnetic strip, and/or a barcode or codes and/or chip or chips, all of which provide information to appropriate devices at the point of purchase for activation and/or deliver of good and services.).

Re claim 12, Hodes discloses the system as recited in rejected claim 11 stated above, wherein said PDCM comprises a card having a magnetic strip (Fig. 5).

Re claim 13, Hodes discloses the system as recited in rejected claim 12 stated above, wherein said PDCM consumer distributor further comprises a PDCM scanning device, said PDCM scanning device being capable of sending said unique identification information of said PDCM to said authentication service provider (As disclosed above, Hodes provides an encoded magnetic strip, a barcode, or smart chip, any of which can be read by an appropriate device at

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

point of purchase. In case of a barcode, it is inherent to comprise an optical scanning device to process the encoded information within a barcode).

Re claim 14, Hodes discloses the system as recited in rejected claim 11 stated above, wherein said identification information of said PDCM comprises a serial number and a personal identification number (A PIN number has a predetermined sequential control number with direct one-to-one relationship to an account. The control number can be encoded in the magnetic strip or in a bar code on the card or related components, and this additional step can be used as a means of encrypting the PIN account number; see [0075] and [0083]).

Re claim 15, Hodes discloses the system as recited in rejected claim 11 stated above, wherein said digital content provider comprises:

a web server (Fig. 96);

a storage device coupled to said web server, said storage device comprising digital content associated with said PDCM ([0068]).

Re claim 16, Hodes discloses the system as recited in rejected claim 15 stated above, wherein said digital content provider further comprises:

an authentication, authorization, and accounting (AAA) server (Figs89-96) coupled to said storage device; and

a PDCM database stored in said storage device ([0068]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2876

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodes (US 2002/0088855 A1) in view of Petit et al. (US 2002/0082916 A1).

The teachings of Hodes have been fully discussed above with the exception of recited step of rejecting activation from a consumer distributor wherein said received and stored identification mismatches and said PDCM has not been purchased by a consumer. Hodes also fails to explicitly disclose the step of sending a notice of non-activation of said PDCM.

Petit et al. disclose a method and a kiosk for managing access to goods and services available via a computer network. A list of identifiers is created. Each identifier is associated with at least one service of a merchant. Tickets are distributed to customers. Access to a service is authorized upon recognition of an identifier on a ticket. The kiosk comprises a generator for generating a list of identifiers, a supervisor that associates an identifier with at least one service of a merchant, a database of tickets to which the identifier has been applied, and a controller for checking the identifier. Petit et al. further disclose another aspect of the invention that is directed to a kiosk for managing access to goods and/or services available via a computer network, offered by merchants and aimed at customers. The kiosk includes a generator for generating a list of identifiers, and a supervisor, which associates an identifier with at least one goods, and/or service of a merchant. A database for tickets is created based on an identifier and intended for customers. A controller is provided for checking the identifier supplied by a customer to access the goods and/or services.

Accordingly, the invention, which relates to managing access to goods and/or services available via a computer network, enables merchants to offer their goods and/or services to the customers, subject to the customers supplying respective identifiers. Each of the goods and/or services of a merchant is associated by the kiosk with known identifiers. To gain access thereto, a customer supplies an identifier to the kiosk controller and the controller authorizes the customer to access the service of the merchant if it recognizes the identifier. The customer receives from a distributor, in exchange for remuneration in kind or in cash, an identifier that has been associated with one or more goods and/or services by the supervisor that is part of the kiosk. In the event of a shipping problem, the merchant instructs the kiosk to re-credit the customer's account. The examiner interprets this re-crediting process as one forms of informing a customer about non-activation process.

In view of Petit et al., it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further a step of rejecting activation and sending a notice of non-activation in addition to method and system for point of sale activation for software and metered accounts of Hodes due to the fact that digital contents can be more securely delivered/downloaded to an authenticated consumer for the purposes of minimizing loss of revenue caused by fraudulent and tampered activities of unauthenticated individuals.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The applicant argues that cited reference Hodes (US 2002/0088855) fails to teach or suggest the method or system of providing digital content to consumers in conjunction with an authentication process.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2876

The applicant states that the application is achieved because the authentication service provider is able to communicate with two different service providers; a distributor retailer and a digital content provider. Thus, there are three separate service providers in applicants' invention.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. As fully explained in the previous Office Action and above, Hodes discloses a packaged card and related products provide a delivery system that allows inactive product sales, prepaid **authorized** delivery of licensed software programs, digital information, and/or goods and services provided over the Internet, satellite communications, cable, fiber optics and all means of communications available today. The reference further discloses an authentication service provider that is able to communicate with two different service providers in Figs. 89-96. The examiner respectfully points out that the reference does include a POS system that provides function of authorization ([0097]) by using a PIN and a unique control number. It is further noted that such explanation shown in the first paragraph on page 4 is not recited in the claim.

The applicant further argues that the authentication service provider is not the digital content provider. Again, figures 89-96 and the Specification of Hodes reference show that the authentication may be checked at the POS system and/or a digital content provider in which comprises a computer or main frame or facility receiving the authorization from the magnetic strip establishing that the product has been purchased at the point of sale, and delivering the data, activation, authorization, information, code or encrypted codes or goods and services expected. The information expected would allow by itself or with information on related components the full use of the product purchased.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362

Art Unit: 2876

In summary, the examiner believes the cited reference fully reads on claimed features recited in claims 1-4, 7 and 10-20.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Re claims 5, 6, 8, and 9, the applicants mainly argue regarding the primary reference substantially the same way as in rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Therefore, it is not persuasive for aforementioned reasons.

As a result, dependent claims 5, 6, 8, and 9 which depend, either directly or indirectly from independent claim 1 remain rejected.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a-2:00p (Maxi-Flex*).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,362 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven S. Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

ssp.